

EXHIBIT 9

1 Robert S. Arns, State Bar No. 65071
 RSA@ARNSLAW.COM
 2 Jonathan E. Davis, State Bar No. 191346
 JED@ARNSLAW.COM
 3 Steven R. Weinmann, State Bar No. 190956
 SRW@ARNSLAW.COM
 4 **THE ARNS LAW FIRM**
 515 Folsom Street, 3rd Floor
 5 San Francisco, CA 94105
 Tel: (415) 495-7800
 6 Fax: (415) 495-7888

7 Jonathan M. Jaffe, State Bar No. 267012
 JMJ@JAFFE-LAW.COM
 8 **JONATHAN JAFFE LAW**
 3055 Hillegass Avenue
 9 Berkeley, CA 94705
 Tel: (510) 725-4293
 10 Fax: (510) 868-3393

11 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 14 SAN JOSE DIVISION

15 ANGEL FRALEY; PAUL WANG; SUSAN
 16 MAINZER; JAMES H. DUVAL, a minor, by
 and through JAMES DUVAL, as Guardian ad
 17 Litem; and WILLIAM TAIT, a minor, by and
 through RUSSELL TAIT, as Guardian ad Litem;
 18 individually and on behalf of all others similarly
 situated,

19 Plaintiffs,

20 v.

21 FACEBOOK, INC., a corporation; and DOES 1-
 22 100,

23 Defendants.

Case No. CV 11-01726 LHK PSG

**PLAINTIFF SUSAN MAINZER'S
 RESPONSES TO DEFENDANT'S
 INTERROGATORIES**

SET ONE

Courtroom: 8
 Judge: Hon. Lucy H. Koh
 Trial Date: December 3, 2012

24 PROPOUNDING PARTY: Defendant FACEBOOK, INC.

25 RESPONDING PARTY: Plaintiff SUSAN MAINZER

26 SET NUMBER: ONE

1 endorsement and the fact that Facebook charges more for them. The Plaintiffs' right to be
2 paid also stems from the common and statutory right of publicity (Civil Code section 3344),
3 and from the common law of unjust enrichment which, provides that non-celebrities are to be
4 compensated for nonconsensual uses of their names and likenesses in advertisements. The
5 value of Plaintiff's endorsement is at least \$750 per endorsement.
6

7 **INTERROGATORY NO. 8:**

8 DESCRIBE each instance in which YOU have been offered, and/or have received
9 compensation or other consideration of any kind to endorse, advertise, suggest, or recommend
10 any PERSON, organization, cause, good, or service at any time during YOUR life.
11

12 **INTERROGATORY NO. 8:**

13 Plaintiff has not received such compensation or consideration, apart from as connected
14 to her professional work in public relations. However, in that role, she has received
15 compensation as to events where she has participated as a speaker and has been included in the
16 advertisements for the events.
17

18 **INTERROGATORY NO. 9:**

19 IDENTIFY the NAME of each of YOUR "Friends" on Facebook that YOU contend
20 considered YOU a "celebrity," as asserted in Plaintiffs' Opposition to Facebook's Motion to
21 Dismiss, at 9:3.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 **INTERROGATORY NO. 11:**

2 DESCRIBE what value, benefit or other consideration, if any, YOU have received,
3 directly or indirectly, as a result of using Facebook.

4 **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 11:**

5
6 Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory as vague and ambiguous to the extent that “value”
7 and “benefit” are undefined, and construes the words to mean monetary compensation or the
8 ability to access information, or applications / software. Subject to those objections and the
9 General Objections, Plaintiff responds as follows: Plaintiff can share things she wants to share
10 with people. Plaintiff is, for all practical purposes, required to use Facebook for her business, so
11 the value is the value of not being prevented to use Facebook as a marketing tool
12

13 **INTERROGATORY NO. 12:**

14 For each of the websites identified in response to Interrogatory No. 6, DESCRIBE the
15 circumstances under which YOU have shared content with others (including, without
16 limitation, content CONCERNING a product, service, website, brand, organization, celebrity,
17 musician, band event, or other content), including, with respect to each piece of content shared,
18 the date(s) YOU shared the content, a description of the content shared, the website the content
19 was shared on, and the reasons(s) YOU shared the content.
20

21 **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 12:**

22
23 Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory as overbroad, unduly burdensome, and not
24 reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to those
25 objections and the General Objections, Plaintiff responds as follows:

26 With regards to Flickr, Plaintiff has uploaded a single set of photos for an event of one
27 of her clients, in approximately June, 2009.
28